

individual user.

REMARKS

Minor corrections to the specification have been made. Claims 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 have been amended. Claims 15, 16 and 17 remain unamended.

The Examiner has rejected claim 8-17 as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Applicants have amended claims 8-14 and now believe that all claims are definite.

In claim 8, Applicants have not changed "device" and "unit" into the plural form. Applicants believe that to make this change properly would require removing the "a" before "first", "second" and "third" in each case. Applicants believe that to remove the "a" would deviate from the traditional claim writing style and therefore have not changed the words "device" and "unit" to the plural form. However, Applicants will make this modification if the Examiner still desires to have this change made.

Applicants have changed the "mobile power supply" to now read "battery power supply" (claims 8 and 9).

Applicants have amended claims 8-13 in an effort to more clearly and particularly claim the invention and to eliminate any confusion.

Applicants have amended claim 14 such that it now depends from claim 8.

The Examiner has rejected claims 9 and 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for failing to provide an enabling disclosure. Applicants believe that these claims, as amended to more clearly and particularly claim the invention and to eliminate any confusion, are supported by the specification. The claims as amended no longer make any reference to the mobile data units operating in the receive mode.

Applicants believe that claims 8-14, as amended, as well as dependent claims 15-17 are now in a condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request a favorable reexamination by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

RONALD E. LUSE et al

By: 

Curtis A. Bell
Reg. No. 36,742

HENDERSON & STURM
1213 Midland Building
206 Sixth Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50309-4076
515-288-9589

CAB/dmp
Enclosure